

# ON SOME ENUMERATIVE ASPECTS OF GENERALIZED ASSOCIAHEDRA

CHRISTOS A. ATHANASIADIS

**ABSTRACT.** We prove a conjecture of F. Chapoton relating certain enumerative invariants of (a) the cluster complex associated by S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky to a finite root system and (b) the lattice of noncrossing partitions associated to the corresponding finite real reflection group.

## 1. THE RESULT

Let  $\Phi$  be a finite root system spanning an  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $V$  with corresponding finite reflection group  $W$ . Let  $\Phi^+$  be a positive system for  $\Phi$  with corresponding simple system  $\Pi$ . The cluster complex  $\Delta(\Phi)$  was introduced by S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky within the context of their theory of cluster algebras [9, 10, 11]. It is a pure  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex on the vertex set  $\Phi^+ \cup (-\Pi)$  which is homeomorphic to a sphere [10]. It was realized explicitly in [6] as the boundary complex of an  $n$ -dimensional simplicial convex polytope  $P(\Phi)$ , known as the simplicial generalized associahedron associated to  $\Phi$ . Although  $\Delta(\Phi)$  was initially defined under the assumption that  $\Phi$  is crystallographic [10], its definition and main properties are valid without this restriction [7, Section 5.3] [8]; see [7] for an expository treatment of generalized associahedra.

The combinatorics of  $\Delta(\Phi)$  is closely related to that of a finite poset  $\mathbf{L}_W$ , known as the lattice of noncrossing partitions associated to  $W$  [2, 3] (see Section 2 for definitions). It is known, for instance (see [7, Theorem 5.9]), that the  $h$ -polynomial of  $\Delta(\Phi)$  is equal to the rank generating polynomial of  $\mathbf{L}_W$ . In particular the number of facets of  $\Delta(\Phi)$  is equal to the cardinality of  $\mathbf{L}_W$ . This number is a Catalan number if  $\Phi$  has type  $A_n$  in the Cartan-Killing classification; in that case  $P(\Phi)$  is the polar polytope to the classical  $n$ -dimensional associahedron [7, Section 3.1] and  $\mathbf{L}_W$  is isomorphic to the lattice of noncrossing partitions of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n + 1\}$  [7, Section 5.1]. The poset  $\mathbf{L}_W$  is a self-dual graded lattice of rank  $n$  which plays an important role in the geometric group theory and topology of finite-type Artin groups; see [14] for a related survey article.

The  $F$ -triangle for  $\Phi$ , introduced by F. Chapoton [5, Section 2], is a refinement of the  $f$ -vector of  $\Delta(\Phi)$  defined by the generating function

$$(1) \quad F(\Phi) = F(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^n f_{k,\ell} x^k y^\ell$$

where  $f_{k,\ell}$  is the number of faces of  $\Delta(\Phi)$  consisting of  $k$  positive roots and  $\ell$  negative simple roots. Clearly  $f_{k,\ell} = 0$  unless  $k + \ell \leq n$ . The  $M$ -triangle for  $W$  is

---

*Date:* August 1, 2005.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F55; Secondary 05E15, 05E99.

defined similarly [5, Section 3] as

$$(2) \quad M(W) = M(x, y) = \sum_{a \preceq b} \mu(a, b) x^{r(b)} y^{r(a)}$$

where  $\preceq$  denotes the order relation of  $\mathbf{L}_W$ ,  $\mu$  stands for its Möbius function [16, Section 3.6],  $r(a)$  is the rank of  $a \in \mathbf{L}_W$  and the sum runs over all pairs  $(a, b)$  of elements of  $\mathbf{L}_W$  with  $a \preceq b$ . The main objective of this note is to prove the following theorem, the rather surprising statement of which appears as [5, Conjecture 1] and includes many of the known similarities between the enumerative properties of  $\Delta(\Phi)$  and  $\mathbf{L}_W$  as special cases; see [5, Sections 3.1–3.5].

**Theorem 1.1.** *The  $F$ -triangle for  $\Phi$  and  $M$ -triangle for  $W$  are related by the equality*

$$(3) \quad (1 - y)^n F\left(\frac{x + y}{1 - y}, \frac{y}{1 - y}\right) = M(-x, -y/x).$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 (Section 3) relies on two known special cases, one relating the number  $f_{n,0}$  of facets of  $\Delta(\Phi)$  consisting of only positive roots to the Möbius number of  $\mathbf{L}_W$  [5, (23)] and the one already mentioned, relating the  $h$ -polynomial of  $\Delta(\Phi)$  to the rank generating polynomial of  $\mathbf{L}_W$ . A case-free proof of the relevant statement in the former case was given in [1, Corollary 4.4] but no such proof exists at present in the latter case (see Remark 9.4 in [15] for an interesting attempt). To extract the proof of the theorem from the two special cases we utilize the appearance of the cluster complex in the context of the lattice  $\mathbf{L}_W$  in the work of T. Brady and C. Watt [4]. This connection is briefly outlined in Section 2, where the two special cases are conveniently generalized (Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6). For root systems of type  $A$  and  $B$ , Theorem 1.1 follows from the computations in Sections 4 and 5 of [5] and results of C. Krattenthaler [13].

## 2. NONCROSSING PARTITIONS AND CLUSTER COMPLEXES

Throughout this section  $W$  is a finite real reflection group of rank  $n$  with set of reflections  $T$  and  $\Phi$  is a root system spanning an  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $V$  with associated reflection group  $W$ . We refer the reader to [12] and [16] for background and any undefined terminology on root systems, finite reflection groups and partially ordered sets.

**2.1. The lattice  $\mathbf{L}_W$ .** For  $w \in W$  let  $r(w) = r_T(w)$  denote the smallest integer  $k$  such that  $w$  can be written as a product of  $k$  reflections in  $T$ . Define a partial order  $\preceq$  on  $W$  by letting

$$u \preceq v \quad \text{if and only if} \quad r(u) + r(u^{-1}v) = r(v),$$

in other words if there exists a shortest factorization of  $u$  into reflections in  $T$  which is a prefix of such a shortest factorization of  $v$ . Since  $T$  is invariant under conjugation the function  $r_T$  is constant on conjugacy classes of  $W$  and we have  $u \preceq v$  if and only if  $uwu^{-1} \preceq wvw^{-1}$  for  $u, v, w \in W$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $a, b, w$  be elements of  $W$ .*

- (i)  $a \preceq aw \preceq b$  if and only if  $w \preceq a^{-1}b \preceq b$ .
- (ii)  $a \preceq aw \preceq b$  if and only if  $a \preceq bw^{-1} \preceq b$ .

- (iii)  $a \preceq b$  if and only if  $a^{-1}b \preceq b$  and, in that case, the interval  $[a, b]$  is isomorphic to  $[1, a^{-1}b]$ .  $\square$

*Proof.* Consider part (ii) and suppose that  $a \preceq aw \preceq b$ . From the definition of  $\preceq$  we have  $r(a) + r(w) = r(aw)$  and  $b = awc$  with  $r(aw) + r(c) = r(b)$ . Let  $c' = wcw^{-1}$  and observe that  $r(c) = r(c')$ . The factorization  $b = ac'w$  implies that  $r(ac') = r(a) + r(c')$ , since  $r(ac') \leq r(a) + r(c')$  on the one hand and  $r(ac') \geq r(b) - r(w) = r(a) + r(c) = r(a) + r(c')$  on the other. It follows that  $a \preceq ac' = bw^{-1}$  and  $bw^{-1} = ac' \preceq b$ , so that  $a \preceq bw^{-1} \preceq b$ . The converse, as well as part (i), are treated in a similar way. Part (iii) follows from part (i).  $\square$

The order  $\preceq$  turns  $W$  into a graded poset having the identity 1 as its unique minimal element and rank function  $r_T$ . For  $w \in W$  we denote by  $\mathbf{L}_W(w)$  the interval  $[1, w]$  in this order. We are primarily interested in the case that  $w$  is a Coxeter element  $\gamma$  of  $W$ . Since all Coxeter elements of  $W$  are conjugate to each other, the isomorphism type of the poset  $\mathbf{L}_W(\gamma)$  is independent of  $\gamma$ . This poset is denoted by  $\mathbf{L}_W$  when the choice of  $\gamma$  is irrelevant and called the *noncrossing partition lattice* associated to  $W$ . If  $W$  is reducible, decomposing as a direct product  $W_1 \times W_2 \times \cdots \times W_k$  of irreducible parabolic subgroups, then  $\mathbf{L}_W$  is isomorphic to the direct product of the posets  $\mathbf{L}_{W_i}$ .

**2.2. The cluster complex.** It was shown in [4, Section 8] that the cluster complex  $\Delta(\Phi)$  arises naturally in the context of the lattice  $\mathbf{L}_W$ . We give a brief account of this connection here and refer the reader to [4] for more details. We denote by  $t_\alpha$  the reflection in the hyperplane in  $V$  orthogonal to  $\alpha$  and by  $N$  the number of reflections in  $T$ . Let  $\Phi^+$  be a fixed positive system for  $\Phi$  and  $\gamma$  be a corresponding bipartite Coxeter element of  $W$ , so that  $\gamma = \gamma_+ \gamma_-$  where

$$\gamma_\pm = \prod_{\alpha \in \Pi_\pm} t_\alpha$$

and  $\Pi_+ = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$ ,  $\Pi_- = \{\alpha_{s+1}, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  are orthogonal sets which form a partition of the simple system  $\Pi$  determined by  $\Phi^+$ . Assume first that  $\Phi$  is irreducible. Letting  $\rho_i = t_{\alpha_1} t_{\alpha_2} \cdots t_{\alpha_{i-1}}(\alpha_i)$  for  $i \geq 1$  (so that  $\rho_1 = \alpha_1$ ), where the  $\alpha_i$  are indexed cyclically modulo  $n$ , and  $\rho_{-i} = \rho_{2N-i}$  for  $i \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_N\} &= \Phi^+, \\ \{\rho_{N+i} : 1 \leq i \leq s\} &= \{-\rho_1, \dots, -\rho_s\} = -\Pi_+, \\ \{\rho_{-i} : 0 \leq i < n-s\} &= \{-\rho_{N-i} : 0 \leq i < n-s\} = -\Pi_-. \end{aligned}$$

Define an abstract simplicial complex  $\Delta(\gamma)$  on the vertex set  $\Phi_{\geq -1} = \Phi^+ \cup (-\Pi) = \{\rho_{-n+s+1}, \dots, \rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{N+s}\}$  by declaring a set  $\sigma = \{\rho_{i_1}, \rho_{i_2}, \dots, \rho_{i_k}\}$  with  $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$  to be a face if and only if

$$(4) \quad w_\sigma = t_{\rho_{i_k}} t_{\rho_{i_{k-1}}} \cdots t_{\rho_{i_1}}$$

is an element of  $\mathbf{L}_W(\gamma)$  of rank  $k$ . If  $\Phi$  is reducible with irreducible components  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \dots, \Phi_m$  then  $\gamma = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_m$  where  $\gamma_i$  is a bipartite Coxeter element for the reflection group  $W_i$  corresponding to  $\Phi_i$  and  $\mathbf{L}_W(\gamma)$  is isomorphic to the direct product of the posets  $\mathbf{L}_{W_i}(\gamma_i)$ . We define  $\Delta(\gamma)$  as the simplicial join of the complexes  $\Delta(\gamma_i)$ , so that  $\sigma \in \Delta(\gamma)$  if and only if  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2 \cup \cdots \cup \sigma_m$  with  $\sigma_i \in \Delta(\gamma_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . In that case we also define

$$(5) \quad w_\sigma = w_{\sigma_1} w_{\sigma_2} \cdots w_{\sigma_m},$$

where the  $w_{\sigma_i}$  mutually commute, so that  $w_\sigma$  is an element of  $\mathbf{L}_W(\gamma)$  of rank equal to the cardinality of  $\sigma$ .

Let  $\Delta_+(\gamma)$  and  $\Delta_+(\Phi)$  denote the induced subcomplexes of  $\Delta(\gamma)$  and  $\Delta(\Phi)$ , respectively, on the vertex set  $\Phi^+$ . The following theorem is proved in [4, Section 8] (see also [4, Note 4.2]) in the case of irreducible root systems and extends by definition to the general case.

**Theorem 2.2.** ([4]) *As an abstract simplicial complex  $\Delta(\Phi)$  coincides with  $\Delta(\gamma)$ . In particular,  $\Delta_+(\Phi)$  coincides with  $\Delta_+(\gamma)$ .*  $\square$

As a consequence the complexes  $\Delta(\gamma)$  and  $\Delta_+(\gamma)$  depend only on our fixed choice of  $\Phi^+$ . Recall (see [2, Proposition 1.6.4]) that any two reflections  $t_1, t_2 \in T$  for which  $t_1 t_2 \preceq \gamma$  and  $t_2 t_1 \preceq \gamma$  are commuting. This implies, in the case of an irreducible root system  $\Phi$ , that any rearrangement of the product in the right hand-side of (4) which is an element of  $\mathbf{L}_W(\gamma)$  of rank  $k$  is equal to  $w_\sigma$ . In particular the map  $\Delta(\gamma) \mapsto \mathbf{L}_W$  sending  $\sigma$  to  $w_\sigma$  depends only on  $\Phi^+$  and  $\gamma$  and not on the specific linear orderings of  $\Pi_+$  and  $\Pi_-$  used in the definition of  $\Delta(\gamma)$ . Similar remarks hold for the product in (5) when  $\Phi$  is reducible. For any  $w \in \mathbf{L}_W(\gamma)$  the faces  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta_+(\gamma)$  with  $w_\sigma = w$  are the facets of a subcomplex  $\Delta_+(w)$  of  $\Delta_+(\gamma)$  (this corresponds to the complex denoted by  $X(w)$  in [4, Section 5]). Clearly the sets of facets of the subcomplexes  $\Delta_+(w)$  for  $w \in \mathbf{L}_W(\gamma)$  form a partition of the set of faces of  $\Delta_+(\gamma)$  into mutually disjoint subsets.

In the next proposition we gather some facts from [10, Section 3], modified according to some observations made in [4, Section 8] (for the non-crystallographic root systems see, for instance, [8]). For the sake of simplicity we assume that  $\Phi$  is irreducible and let  $R : \Phi_{\geq -1} \rightarrow \Phi_{\geq -1}$  be the map defined by

$$R(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \gamma^{-1}(\alpha), & \text{if } \alpha \notin \Pi_+ \cup (-\Pi_-) \\ -\alpha, & \text{if } \alpha \in \Pi_+ \cup (-\Pi_-) \end{cases}$$

and let  $R(\sigma) = \{R(\alpha) : \alpha \in \sigma\}$  for  $\sigma \subseteq \Phi_{\geq -1}$ . For  $\sigma \subseteq \Pi$  we denote by  $\Phi_\sigma$  the standard parabolic root subsystem obtained by intersecting  $\Phi$  with the linear span of  $\Pi \setminus \sigma$ , endowed with the induced positive system  $\Phi_\sigma^+ = \Phi^+ \cap \Phi_\sigma$ , and abbreviate  $\Phi_\sigma$  as  $\Phi_\alpha$  when  $\sigma = \{\alpha\}$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\Phi$  be irreducible,  $\alpha \in \Pi$  and  $\sigma \subseteq \Phi_{\geq -1}$ .*

- (i) *For  $\sigma \in \Delta(\Phi)$  we have  $-\alpha \in \sigma$  if and only if  $\sigma \setminus \{-\alpha\} \in \Delta(\Phi_\alpha)$ .*
- (ii) *For any  $\beta \in \Phi^+$  there exists  $i$  such that  $R^i(\beta) \in (-\Pi)$ .*
- (iii)  *$\sigma \in \Delta(\Phi)$  if and only if  $R(\sigma) \in \Delta(\Phi)$ .*  $\square$

**2.3. The Möbius function.** We write  $\mu(w)$  instead of  $\mu(\hat{0}, w)$  for the Möbius function between  $\hat{0}$  and  $w \in P$  of a finite poset  $P$  with a unique minimal element  $\hat{0}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a bipartite Coxeter element of  $W$ , as in Section 2.2. It is known [5, (23)] [1, Corollary 4.4] that the Möbius number  $\mu(\gamma)$  of  $\mathbf{L}_W = \mathbf{L}_W(\gamma)$  is equal, up to the sign  $(-1)^n$ , to the number of facets of  $\Delta_+(\Phi)$ . This fact generalizes as follows.

**Lemma 2.4.** *For  $w \in \mathbf{L}_W(\gamma)$  the number  $(-1)^{r(w)}\mu(w)$  is equal to the number of facets of  $\Delta_+(w)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to treat the case that  $\Phi$  is irreducible. By [1, Corollary 4.3]  $(-1)^{r(w)}\mu(w)$  is equal to the number of factorizations  $w = t_{\rho_{i_k}} t_{\rho_{i_{k-1}}} \cdots t_{\rho_{i_1}}$  of length  $k = r(w)$  with  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq N$ . The set of such factorizations is

in bijection with the set of faces  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta_+(\gamma)$  with  $w_\sigma = w$ , in other words with the set of facets of  $\Delta_+(w)$ .  $\square$

The next corollary is the specialization  $y = 0$  of Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 2.5.** *The coefficient of  $q^k$  in the characteristic polynomial*

$$\chi(\mathbf{L}_W, q) = \sum_{w \in \mathbf{L}_W} \mu(w) q^{r(w)}$$

*of  $\mathbf{L}_W$  is equal to  $(-1)^k$  times the number of faces of  $\Delta_+(\Phi)$  of dimension  $k - 1$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 2.2, Lemma 2.4 and the fact that the set of faces of  $\Delta_+(\gamma)$  of dimension  $k - 1$  is the disjoint union of the sets of facets of the subcomplexes  $\Delta_+(w)$  where  $w$  ranges over all elements of  $\mathbf{L}_W(\gamma)$  of rank  $k$ .  $\square$

**2.4. Links and  $h$ -polynomials.** Recall that the  $h$ -polynomial of an abstract simplicial complex  $\Delta$  of dimension  $n - 1$  is defined as

$$h(\Delta, y) = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(\Delta) y^i (1 - y)^{n-i}$$

where  $f_i(\Delta)$  is the number of faces of  $\Delta$  of dimension  $i - 1$ . The link of a face  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta$  is the abstract simplicial complex  $\text{lk}_\Delta(\sigma) = \{\tau \setminus \sigma : \sigma \subseteq \tau \in \Delta\}$ . It is known [5, Section 3.1] [7, Theorem 5.9] that

$$(6) \quad h(\Delta(\Phi), y) = \sum_{a \in \mathbf{L}_W} y^{r(a)}$$

for any root system  $\Phi$  (the coefficients of either hand-side of (6) are known as the *Narayana numbers* associated to  $W$ ). This fact generalizes as follows, where  $\gamma$  is as in Section 2.2.

**Lemma 2.6.** *For any face  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta = \Delta(\Phi)$  we have*

$$h(\text{lk}_\Delta(\sigma), y) = \sum_{a \in \mathbf{L}_W(\gamma w_\sigma^{-1})} y^{r(a)}.$$

*Proof.* We will use induction on the rank of  $\Phi$ . The proposed equality follows by induction if  $\Phi$  is reducible, since both hand-sides are multiplicative in  $W$ , and reduces to (6) if  $\sigma$  is empty. Henceforth we assume that  $\Phi$  is irreducible and let  $\sigma = \{\rho_{i_1}, \rho_{i_2}, \dots, \rho_{i_k}\}$ , in the notation of Section 2.2, with  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$  and  $k \geq 1$ . We distinguish three cases.

**Case 1.**  $\sigma \cap (-\Pi_-) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\rho_{i_1} = -\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \Pi_-$  and hence, by Proposition 2.3 (i), we have  $\text{lk}_\Delta(\sigma) = \text{lk}_{\Delta'}(\sigma')$  where  $\Delta' = \Delta(\Phi_\alpha)$  and  $\sigma' = \sigma \setminus \{-\alpha\}$ . The induction hypothesis implies that

$$(7) \quad h(\text{lk}_\Delta(\sigma), y) = \sum_{a \in \mathbf{L}_W(\gamma' w_\sigma^{-1})} y^{r(a)}$$

where  $\gamma' = \gamma t_\alpha$ . Clearly  $t_{\rho_{i_k}} \cdots t_{\rho_{i_2}} = w_\sigma t_\alpha$  is a rank  $k - 1$  element of  $\mathbf{L}_W(\gamma')$  and hence  $w_{\sigma'} = w_\sigma t_\alpha$ . Thus  $\gamma' w_{\sigma'}^{-1} = \gamma w_\sigma^{-1}$  and the result follows from (7).

**Case 2.**  $\sigma \cap (-\Pi_+) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\rho_{i_k} = -\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \Pi_+$  and (7) continues to hold with  $\gamma' = t_\alpha \gamma$  and  $w_{\sigma'} = t_\alpha w_\sigma$ , where  $\Delta'$  and  $\sigma'$  have the same meaning as

in the previous case. Since  $\gamma'w_{\sigma'}^{-1} = t_{\alpha}(\gamma w_{\sigma}^{-1})t_{\alpha}$  is conjugate to  $\gamma w_{\sigma}^{-1}$ , the poset  $\mathbf{L}_W(\gamma'w_{\sigma'}^{-1})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{L}_W(\gamma w_{\sigma}^{-1})$  and the result follows again from (7).

**Case 3.**  $\sigma \cap (-\Pi) = \emptyset$ . Let  $\ell \geq 1$  be such that  $\rho_{i_j} \in \Pi_+$  if and only if  $j < \ell$  and let  $\sigma' = \{\rho_{i_{\ell}}, \dots, \rho_{i_k}\}$ . Proposition 2.3 (iii) implies that  $\text{lk}_{\Delta}(\sigma)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{lk}_{\Delta}(R(\sigma))$ . Since  $R(\alpha) = -\alpha \in (-\Pi_+)$  for  $\alpha \in \sigma \setminus \sigma' = \sigma \cap \Pi_+$ , we have in turn that  $\text{lk}_{\Delta}(R(\sigma)) = \text{lk}_{\Delta'}(R(\sigma'))$  by part (i) of the same proposition, where  $\Delta' = \Delta(\Phi_{\sigma \setminus \sigma'})$ . Suppose first that  $\sigma \cap \Pi_+$  is nonempty, so that  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $\Phi_{\sigma \setminus \sigma'}$  has smaller rank than  $\Phi$ . The previous observations and the induction hypothesis imply that

$$(8) \quad h(\text{lk}_{\Delta}(\sigma), y) = \sum_{a \in \mathbf{L}_W(\gamma'w_{R(\sigma')}^{-1})} y^{r(a)}$$

where  $\gamma' = t_{\rho_{i_1}} \cdots t_{\rho_{i_{\ell-1}}} \gamma$ . Since  $w_{\sigma} = t_{\rho_{i_k}} \cdots t_{\rho_{i_1}}$  is an element of  $\mathbf{L}_W(\gamma)$  of rank  $k$  we have that  $t_{\rho_{i_k}} \cdots t_{\rho_{i_{\ell}}}$  is an element of  $\mathbf{L}_W(\gamma t_{\rho_{i_1}} \cdots t_{\rho_{i_{\ell-1}}})$  of rank  $k - \ell + 1$  and hence that  $t_{R(\rho_{i_k})} \cdots t_{R(\rho_{i_{\ell}})} = \gamma^{-1} t_{\rho_{i_k}} \cdots t_{\rho_{i_{\ell}}} \gamma$  is an element of  $\mathbf{L}_W(\gamma')$  of rank  $k - \ell + 1$ . Therefore  $w_{R(\sigma')} = \gamma^{-1} t_{\rho_{i_k}} \cdots t_{\rho_{i_{\ell}}} \gamma$  and  $\gamma'w_{R(\sigma')}^{-1} = w_{\sigma}^{-1} \gamma$  is conjugate to  $\gamma w_{\sigma}^{-1}$ , so that the result follows from (8) as in the second case. Finally suppose that  $\sigma \cap \Pi_+ = \emptyset$ . By the previous argument it suffices to prove that

$$h(\text{lk}_{\Delta}(R(\sigma)), y) = \sum_{a \in \mathbf{L}_W(\gamma w_{R(\sigma)}^{-1})} y^{r(a)}.$$

In view of Proposition 2.3 (ii), applying similarly  $R$  sufficiently many times brings us back either to the previous situation or to one of the first two cases.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Throughout this section  $\gamma$  is a bipartite Coxeter element of  $W$ , as in Section 2.2, and  $|\sigma|$  denotes the cardinality of a finite set  $\sigma$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* To simplify notation let us write  $\Delta$ ,  $\Delta_+$  and  $\mathbf{L}$  instead of  $\Delta(\Phi)$ ,  $\Delta_+(\Phi)$  and  $\mathbf{L}_W = \mathbf{L}_W(\gamma)$ , respectively, and  $a \preceq_{\mathbf{L}} b$  instead of  $a \preceq b$  with  $a, b \in \mathbf{L}$ . From (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1-y)^n F\left(\frac{x+y}{1-y}, \frac{y}{1-y}\right) &= \sum_{k,\ell} f_{k,\ell} (x+y)^k y^{\ell} (1-y)^{n-k-\ell} \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell,i} f_{k,\ell} \binom{k}{i} x^i y^{k+\ell-i} (1-y)^{n-k-\ell} \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in \Delta} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \Delta_+ \\ \sigma \subseteq \tau}} x^{|\sigma|} y^{|\tau|-|\sigma|} (1-y)^{n-|\tau|} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_+} x^{|\sigma|} \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \Delta \\ \sigma \subseteq \tau}} y^{|\tau|-|\sigma|} (1-y)^{n-|\tau|} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_+} x^{|\sigma|} \sum_{\tau' \in \text{lk}_{\Delta}(\sigma)} y^{|\tau'|} (1-y)^{n-|\sigma|-|\tau'|} \end{aligned}$$

and hence that

$$(9) \quad (1-y)^n F\left(\frac{x+y}{1-y}, \frac{y}{1-y}\right) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_+} x^{|\sigma|} h(\text{lk}_\Delta(\sigma), y).$$

Similarly, using (2) and observing from Lemma 2.1 (iii) that  $\mu(a, b) = \mu(w)$ , where  $w = a^{-1}b$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(-x, -y/x) &= \sum_{a \preceq_{\mathbf{L}} b} \mu(a, b) (-x)^{r(b)-r(a)} y^{r(a)} \\ &= \sum_{a \preceq_{\mathbf{L}} aw} \mu(w) (-x)^{r(w)} y^{r(a)} \\ &= \sum_{w \in \mathbf{L}} (-x)^{r(w)} \mu(w) \sum_{a \preceq_{\mathbf{L}} \gamma w^{-1}} y^{r(a)}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that we have used Lemma 2.1 (ii) to conclude that for  $a, w \in \mathbf{L}$  we have  $a \preceq aw \in \mathbf{L}$  if and only if  $a \preceq \gamma w^{-1} \in \mathbf{L}$ . From the last expression and Lemma 2.4 we have

$$M(-x, -y/x) = \sum_{w \in \mathbf{L}} x^{r(w)} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \Delta_+ \\ w_\sigma = w}} \sum_{a \preceq_{\mathbf{L}} \gamma w^{-1}} y^{r(a)}$$

or, equivalently,

$$(10) \quad M(-x, -y/x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_+} x^{|\sigma|} \sum_{a \preceq_{\mathbf{L}} \gamma w_\sigma^{-1}} y^{r(a)}.$$

In view of Lemma 2.6, the result follows by comparing (9) and (10).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] C.A. Athanasiadis, T. Brady and C. Watt, *Shellability of noncrossing partition lattices*, preprint, 2005, 10 pages ([ArXiv preprint math.CO/0503007](#)).
- [2] D. Bessis, *The dual braid monoid*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **36** (2003), 647–683.
- [3] T. Brady and C. Watt, *K(π, 1)’s for Artin groups of finite type*, in *Proceedings of the Conference on Geometric and Combinatorial group theory, Part I (Haifa 2000)*, Geom. Dedicata **94** (2002), 225–250.
- [4] T. Brady and C. Watt, *Lattices in finite real reflection groups*, preprint, 2005, 29 pages, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [5] F. Chapoton, *Enumerative properties of generalized associahedra*, Sémin. Loth. de Combinatoire **51** (2004), # B51b (electronic).
- [6] F. Chapoton, S. Fomin and A.V. Zelevinsky, *Polytopal realizations of generalized associahedra*, Canad. Math. Bull. **45** (2002), 537–566.
- [7] S. Fomin and N. Reading, *Root systems and generalized associahedra*, in *Geometric Combinatorics*, IAS/Park City Mathematics Series (to appear).
- [8] S. Fomin and N. Reading, *Generalized cluster complexes and Coxeter combinatorics*, preprint, 2005, 40pp ([ArXiv preprint math.CO/0505085](#)).
- [9] S. Fomin and A.V. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras I: Foundations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 497–529.
- [10] S. Fomin and A.V. Zelevinsky, *Y-systems and generalized associahedra*, Ann. of Math. **158** (2003), 977–1018.
- [11] S. Fomin and A.V. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras II: finite type classification*, Invent. Math. **154** (2003), 63–121.
- [12] J.E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **29**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1990.
- [13] C. Krattenthaler, personal communication, 2005.
- [14] J. McCammond, *An introduction to Garside structures*, preprint, 2004, 28 pages.

- [15] N. Reading, *Clusters, Coxeter sortable elements and noncrossing partitions*, preprint, 2005, 28 pages ([ArXiv preprint math.CO/0507186](#)).
- [16] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol. 1, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, CA, 1986; second printing, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CRETE, 71409 HERAKLION, CRETE, GREECE  
*E-mail address:* `caa@math.uoc.gr`